82.Cx ...

- 1 1	d For Release 2003/06/15 ( PPDP80R01731R003400100010-7
	25X1
	LU January 1952
MEMORANDU	M OF CONVERSATION
reaction	of DD/P came to see me at suggestion Becker with respect to the problem of Soviet, U. S. and world to real or fictional exposure of U. S. espionage cases. Some jectives of such a study would be to determine:
shou	a. What attitude the President, Secretary of State, and etary of Defense should take in cases of Soviet accusation; ld they know what are the facts or can they dony governmental action better without facts?
	b. What are the effects on existing operations?
	c. What effect is there on operational planning?
	d. What attitude do we want the United States public to have?
2. sugg <b>est</b> ed	In response to their inquiry as to what I thought of this I as follows:
not:	a. This job be pirned on an individual in DD/P or O/SO and on a committee.
the	b. That that individual carefully rack up with some precision intelligence questions that need to be answered and that then Office which has the capability be asked to produce the necessary reation.* Thus:
	(1) An immediate request could be levied on the divisions of 0/80 to indicate which of their cases have been blown.
	(2) In certain major and recent cases made public, such as the /CI could be asked to cull their information all-sources (including reports) on Soviet actions
	(3) The State Department Public Affairs Office to be asked through R for the elipping file on U. S. editorial, radio, and general press reaction on certain specific cases of interest.
* When	

- (4) OIR-State be requested to make available United Nations reactions on certain specific cases of interest.
- (5) OCI on a continuing basis could be asked to keep a constant alert for information bearing upon either past or new Russian allegations of espionage incidents.
- c. When the basic materials have been assembled O/NE may be able to give assistance in the event it points to a useful estimate of Russian intentions. Chet Hansen could, I think, make a contribution of the U.S. public attitude aspects of the problem.
- 3. This project might be mentioned by DD/P or 0/S0 in the Director's Staff Conference for the information of the Staff.
- 4. Two problems mentioned in the memorandum I read end separable from the foregoing:
  - a. Within the framework of MSCID 5 who is doing what in espionage (I understand of SO is negotiating with Defense agencies on this); and

25X1

b. Can we get a commitment from the other agencies to inform SO when an operation of the other agencies is blown?

These problems 50 is already on the way to handling but by and large do not concern the intelligence officer.

JAMES Q. REBER Assistant Director Intelligence Coordination

	Distr:	DD/I	
25X1			